



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,017	02/28/2002	Pawan Chaturvedi	1814	1162
28005	10/06/2006		EXAMINER	
SPRINT			BOAKYE, ALEXANDER O	
6391 SPRINT KSOPHT0101 OVERLAND			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/086,017	CHATURVEDI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ALEXANDER BOAKYE	2667				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	ne 2006.					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	·=					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-37</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413) ite					
Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Diawing Review (F10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Holden (US Patent # 6,771,639).

Regarding claim 1, Holden teaches a method comprising: (a) receiving a request to establish a circuit-data session between a user terminal and a specified destination (column 4, line 60- column 5, lines 1-3) and (b) responsively setting up a packet-data session between the user terminal (column 2, lines 15-18) and a translation node (PSTN Gateway 20 OF Fig. 1), wherein the translation node is programmed to set up a circuit-data session with the specified destination to bridge the packet-data session with the circuit-data session (column 4, lines 60-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/086,017

Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

2. Claims 2-12, 13-19,20-29, 30-36 and 37 are allowable.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to claims 2-12, the prior art of record does not teach wherein the translation nodes is one of multiple translation nodes disposed throughout a packet-switched network, the method further comprising selecting the translation node from the multiple translation nodes based on the specified destination. As to claims 13-19, the prior art of record does not teach (iii) bridging the first packet-data session with the second packetdata session, whereby packets then flow between the user terminal and the translation node via the local gateway. As to claims 20-29, translating the prior art of record does not teach placing a circuit-switched call from the remote gateway to the dial-up data server; translating the outgoing packetized data into an outgoing dial-up data stream at the remote gateway. As to claims 30-36, the prior art of record does not teach (iii) setting up a second session from the intermediate packet-terminated destination to the specified circuit-terminated destination, and (iv) bridging the first session with the second session to produce an end-to-end session from the user terminal to the specified destination. As to claim 37, the prior art of record does not teach the local server being programmed to query an authentication server to identify a remote server that serves the designated endpoint, and the local server being further programmed to then establish a second packet-data session with the remote server, wherein the local server passed the packet-data between the gateway and the remote server.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,017

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-37 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Boakye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3183. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The central Fax number is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Electronic Business Center numbers 866-217-9197 and 703-305-3028.

Alexander Boakye

Patent Examiner AB 8/21/06

CHI PHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4